

10. The method of Claim 9 wherein the yarn is pretensioned with a draw ration of 1.01--

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 2 have been cancelled, Claims 3 - 8 have been amended and Claims 9 and 10 have been added.

The objection to the term "overfeed about 1% to said draw zone" has been noted and deleted since it was incorrect.

The objection to the disclosure is not well taken since MPEP 608.01(a) is not mandatory but merely preferred. Therefore appropriate correction is not required.

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 is no longer applicable since the limitation referred to no longer appears in the claims.

Claims 3 - 10 clearly are not anticipated by Goineau or Goineau in view of Gorrafa under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) or 103(a) since they are clearly directed to a single ply yarn which is drawn and supplied directly to the take-up roll while Goineau clearly discloses a three ply yarn which, like Gorrafa, is air textured prior to take-up. Furthermore, neither of these applied references disclose or anticipate pretensioning of the yarn prior to drawing. Therefore Claims 3 - 10 clearly distinguish over the applied references and are therefore patentable over the cited references.

Thusly, it is respectfully requested that Claims 3 - 10 be allowed and the application be passed to issue.

Respectfully requested,

February 25, 1998

*Earle R. Marden*

Earle R. Marden  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration Number 19,301  
Telephone: (864) 503-1599